

Attorney Docket No.: H0001962 US

Declaration and Power of Attorney

COPY OF PAPERS

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

USING A PC FOR TESTING DEVICES

The spec	ification of which					
(check	is attached heret	.0				
one)		OVEMBER 29, 2001		_		
	Application Serial No	o. 10/007,004		_		
	and was amended on			_		
			(if applicable)	_		
		have reviewed and un d by any amendment re	derstand the contents of the above ferred to above.	ve-identif	ied specification,	
		y to disclose informations, of Federal Regulations,	on which is material to the examination, §1.56(a).*	ation of t	his application in	
application	on(s) for patent or inve	ntor's certificate listed	nder Title 35, United States Co below and have also identified beloe efore that of the application on which	ow any fo	reign application	
Prior Foreign Application(s)				Priority Claimed		
(Numbe	er) (Co	ountry)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	
below an States appthe duty	d, insofar as the subject plication in the manner to disclose material in between the filing date	t matter of each of the provided by the first panformation as defined	ed States Code §120 of any United claims of this application is not disaragraph of Title 35, United States in Title 37, Code of Federal Region and the national or PCT international or PCT internatio	sclosed in Code §11 egulations	the prior United 2, I acknowledge \$\{1.56(a)\} which	
(Applica	ation Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status) (patented, pending	g, abando	ned)	
business	in the Patent and Tra	ademark Office connec	Mor agent(s) to prosecute this applied therewith: Robert E. Greenstelephone number 813/910-7383.			
Address a	all correspondence to	Robert E Greenstien	, Honeywell International Inc., 13: North, Clearwater, Florida 33764-		Highway 19	

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

JACK L. GRANATO				
Out 1 No. 1	D.,		70	
		MARCH	22	_ , <u>20 _ 02</u>
U.S.				
59 CRANE DRIVE				
SAFETY HARBOR FL 34695				
Kanneth Ing Most	Date	MARCH	23	20 00
		MARCH		20 02
US				
1948 MONTANA AVE NE				
ST. PETERSBURG FL 33703				
——————————————————————————————————————	Date _		<u> </u>	20
				
	U.S. 59 CRANE DRIVE SAFETY HARBOR FL 34695 KENNETH L. MARTIN Wornell Joe Mode ST. PETERSBURG, PINELLAS, FLORIDA US 1948 MONTANA AVE NE ST. PETERSBURG FL 33703	SAFETY HARBOR, PINELLAS, FLORIDA U.S. 39 CRANE DRIVE SAFETY HARBOR FL 34695 KENNETH L. MARTIN Wanter Jan Martin Date ST. PETERSBURG, PINELLAS, FLORIDA US 1948 MONTANA AVE NE ST. PETERSBURG FL 33703 Date	Date MARCH SAFETY HARBOR, PINELLAS, FLORIDA U.S. 39 CRANE DRIVE SAFETY HARBOR FL 34695 KENNETH L. MARTIN Marth Jack March ST. PETERSBURG, PINELLAS, FLORIDA US 1948 MONTANA AVE NE ST. PETERSBURG FL 33703 Date	SAFETY HARBOR, PINELLAS, FLORIDA U.S. 39 CRANE DRIVE SAFETY HARBOR FL 34695 KENNETH L. MARTIN Martin Date MARCH 22 , ST. PETERSBURG, PINELLAS, FLORIDA US 1948 MONTANA AVE NE ST. PETERSBURG FL 33703 Date ,

*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations §1.56:

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material

to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
- (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
- (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.